

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/786,742	02/25/2004	Christopher E. Bales	ORACL-01371US1	3001
2590 02002099 FLIESLER MEYER ILP 650 CALIFORNIA STREET 14TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108			EXAMINER	
			HEFFINGTON, JOHN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2179	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/786.742 BALES ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit JOHN M. HEFFINGTON 2179 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) JOHN M. HEFFINGTON. (3) (2) Ted Liu, 60,039. (4)____. Date of Interview: 05 February 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1.18.34 and 51. Identification of prior art discussed: Anuff (US 2002/0029296 A1). Cohen. LDAP 101: Glue Your Networks Pieces Together. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Anuff discloses accessing either one SQL database or one LDAP database. The applicant suggested replacing the language "the VCR is a hierarchical representation of one or more individual content repositories" with "the VCR is a hierarchical representation of a plurality of content repositories.". (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ba Huynh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2179